

Miami Valley Regional Planning Commission Project Evaluation System Roadway Project

Project Name:		Project Number:
	REGIONAL CONTEXT/COC	OPERATION
1. Regional Scope: Is the project a "	regionally significant project?" See Attack	hment A.
Yes (3 points)	No (0 points)	
2. Regional Cooperation: Is the proj	ect based on multi-jurisdictional cooperat	tion efforts such as joint application or funding?
Yes, 2 or more jurisdictions/organizat	tions (3 points) No (0 points)	
1	Points are awarded based on the facility's posed functional classification. See Attachr	s functional classification. If the project is new construction, <i>ment B</i> .
Interstate/Expressway (6 points)	Arterial (3 points)	Local (1 point)
NHS Arterial (5 points)	Collector (2 points)	NA

	TRANSPORTATION CHOICES
4	. Complete Streets: Does the project help complete the transportation network by improving access for people with disabilities, transit users, pedestrians, or cyclists? (Fill all that apply, Maximum total is 2 points and explanation is required to receive points) <i>See Attachment A</i> .
	For the purpose of scoring projects for inclusion into the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), all projects will be awarded 2 points under the Complete Streets criterion. The LRTP evaluation is a planning level analysis that does not include all the necessary information (detailed costs, plans) to adequately determine compliance with MVRPC's Complete Streets Policy. Further, the source of funds for the

users, pedestrians, or cyclists? (F) Attachment A.	ill all that apply, Maximum total is 2 po	ints and explanation is required to receive points) See
under the Complete Streets criterio (detailed costs, plans) to adequately	n. The LRTP evaluation is a planning le determine compliance with MVRPC's C P is undetermined. If a project is later fu	sportation Plan (LRTP), all projects will be awarded 2 points wel analysis that does not include all the necessary information Complete Streets Policy. Further, the source of funds for the anded with regionally controlled STP or CMAQ funds, the project
<u>X</u> NA (2 points)		
5. Inter-modal Connectivity: Does the Attachment A and B.	e project create, improve, or enhance c	onnectivity among different transportation modes? See
Yes – Multiple modes (4 points)	Yes – One mode (2 points)	No (0 points)

TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT				
6. Safety/Security: Is the project addressing a safety issue in an area that has been identified as a priority location by MVRPC or does the project address a documented design or security deficiency? (Maximum total is 7 points and explanation is required to receive points)				
Intersection/Segment Crash Priority Ranking See Attachment B.	Address Design or Security	<u> Deficiency</u> See Attachme	nt A and B.	
High (5 points) Low (1 point)	Yes (1-2 points) based or	n number or severity of deficie	ncies addressed	
Medium (3 points) NA (0 points)	No (0 points)	NA (0 points)		
7. Congestion: Is the project addressing a recurring congestion problem Attachment B or provide copies of capacity analysis.	7. Congestion: Is the project addressing a recurring congestion problem as identified by the facility's Level of Service (LOS)? See Attachment B or provide copies of capacity analysis.			
LOS F (5 points) LOS E (4 points) LOS D (8. Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Smart Technology: Does the	3 points) LOS	C, B, or A (0 points)	NA	
8. Intelligent Transportation System (ITS) Smart Technology: Does the total is 2 points). See Attachment A.	project include ITS or sm	art technology component	s? (Maximum	
Yes ITS (1 point) Yes Smart Technology (1 point	t) No (0 points)			
by the project; Pavement Condition Rating (PCR) for roadway projects or General Appraisal (GA) for bridge projects (Maximum total is 6 points) See Attachment B. For the purpose of scoring projects for inclusion into the Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP), all projects that address existing infrastructure will be awarded 6 points, projects that build new roads, new interchanges, new bridges, etc, will not be awarded points in this category. When looking at projects that are 10 + years into the future the existing condition of the asset is not very applicable.				
Existing Infrastructure (6 points) New Infrastructure If needed, please provide additional project information that supports points	e (0 points)			
If needed, please provide additional project information that supports poi	ints awarded under <u>TRANS</u>	<u>PORTATION SYSTEM M.</u>	<u>ANAGEMENT</u>	

LAND USE			
10. Minimize Sprawl: Is all or part of the	project within an existing urb	oanized area? Attachment A a	and B.
In Census defined Urbanized Area (3 point	s)		
In FHWA Federal-Aid Urbanized Area but	outside Census defined Urbanized	Area (2 points) Outsid	e Urbanized Area (0 points)
11. Urban Revitalization/Preservation: How much impact does the project have in revitalizing/preserving a given jurisdiction's urban core, community center, or neighborhood? (Explanation is required to receive points) See Attachment A.			
High (5 points)	Medium (3 points)	Low (1 point)	No Impact (0 points)
12. Vulnerable Populations: Is the project located within a concentrated minority and/or poverty area? (Maximum total is 2 points and projects will receive points if the project does not have a disproportionally high and adverse impact on a concentrated poverty and/or minority area.) See Attachment A and B.			
Yes - Minority (1 point)	Yes - Poverty (1 point)	No (0 points)	
13. Median Income: Points will be award points will be awarded based on the medi	•		
< 80% Ohio median income (3 points)	81-120% Ohio median income	(1 point)>1	21% Ohio median income (0 points)
If needed, piedse provide	de additional project information	n inu supports points uvuru	eu unuer <u>LAND OSL</u>

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT			
14. Public-Private Partnership: Does the project include a public-private partnership such as joint funding, right-of-way donations, or a working relationship? (Written documentation is required to receive points)			
Yes (2 points)	Potential (1 point)	No (0 points)	
15. Economic Impact: How much of an economic impact does the project have? Does the project contribute directly to the economic benefits such as creation of new jobs, retention of existing jobs, or improve access to employment centers? Please select all that apply. (Maximum total is 8 points and explanation is required to receive points) See Attachment A.			
Improves access in areas with Contributes to business grow	onal business and employment centers $(0-2 \text{ points})$ high concentrations of freight dependent busines th/retention in community revitalization areas $(0 \text{ ading public space } (0-2 \text{ points})$	ss $(0-2 \text{ points})$	
IJ needed, piedse pro	vide additional project information that sup	ports points awaraea unaer <u>ECONOMI</u>	<u>C DEVELOPMENT</u>

ENVIRONMENT			
16. Air Quality: Does the project improve air quality? Project will receive points if addressing a problem at a location with a Level of Service (LOS) of D-F and/or based on eligibility for CMAQ funding. (Maximum total is 5 points)			
Level of Service See Attachment B or provide copies of capacity analysis. CMAQ Eligibility See Attachment A.			
LOS F (3 points)	LOS C, B, or A (0 points)	Yes (2 points)	
LOS E (2 points)	NA	No (0 points)	
LOS D (1 point)		NA	
	project address an environmental issue, employ system? (Explanation is required to receive points)	low-impact construction practices, or improve the nts) <i>See Attachment A</i> .	
Yes (1-2 points)	No (0 points)	NA	
18. Attractiveness: Does the project points)	include beautification or aesthetic improvement	t components? (Explanation is required to receive	
Yes (2 points)	No (0 points)		